# GROUP 12 FINAL PRESENTATION

By Grace Kane, Adrian Jeziorski, Dom Garofola and Caroline Favelson

### TABLE OF CONTENTS



OUR STORY





QUESTIONS AND METHODS



OUTCOMES AND OVERVIEW



## OUR STORY: Education 575

What do we do:

We are an education marketing firm designed to help schools optimize their recruitment, retention, and overall student success strategies

Services:

 Student Experience Analytics, Targeted Enrollment Campaigns, Curriculum and Program Improvement, Alumni Engagement

Empowering Schools Enhancing Futures

We use advanced data analytics, student insights, and personalized feedback loops to create tailored solutions that improve the educational experience and outcomes for every learner

We help institutions understand their unique needs, preferences, and behaviors, enabling them to craft more effective academic offerings and improve overall results

### OUR GOAL

By developing regressions and creating experiments, we could find the impact of one behavior on the others and evaluate any correlations between them. This information would be able to help the schools focus on certain areas to improve students scores and overall results maximizing the schools potential



### DATASET INFO/DESCRIPTION

Number of Records: 6,607 Number of Features: 20 File Format: CSV

Hours Studied Attendance Parental Involvement Access to Resources Extracurricular Activities Sleep\_Hours School\_Tupe Peer Influence Learning\_Disabilities Parental\_Education\_Level

Motivation Level Internent Access Tutoring\_Sessions Previous Scores Family\_Income Teacher\_Quality Gender Physical Activity Distance\_from\_Home Exam Score







### **NO** Multicollinearity

Categorical Variables Transformed to **n-1** dummy variables

### Regression - What has the largest impact on scores?

- Method: regression analysis (R^2 = 72.75%)
- Factors school can affect: access to resources, motivation level, teacher quality, extracurricular activities, tutoring sessions, hours studied, attendance, physical activity, and learning disabilities
- Invest in better access to resources, teacher quality, and extracurricular activities
- Prioritize mental health and academic interest of the students to increase motivation
- Increase resources for students with disabilities
- Incentivize students to go to tutoring sessions and increase attendance and study hours
- Incorporate more physical activity in curriculum

Coefficients:				
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(>ltl)
(Intercept)	35.005289	0.329783	106.147	< 2e-16
Hours_Studied	0.294834	0.004188	70.400	< 2e-16
Attendance	0.198753	0.002176	91.357	< 2e-16
Extracurricular_Activities	0.558975	0.051151	10.928	< 2e-16
Sleep_Hours	-0.001390	0.017090	-0.081	0.9352
Previous_Scores	0.048853	0.001745	27.998	< 2e-16
Internet_Access	0.929630	0.094940	9.792	< 2e-16
Tutoring_Sessions	0.496896	0.020376	24.386	< 2e-16
School_Type	0.029134	0.054559	0.534	0.5934
Physical_Activity	0.187809	0.024384	7.702	1.53e-14
Learning_Disabilities	-0.855836	0.081761	-10.467	< 2e-16
Gender	-0.040520	0.050762	-0.798	0.4248
Parental_InvolvementHigh	1.984975	0.072807	27.264	< 2e-16
Parental_InvolvementMedium	0.926382	0.065948	14.047	< 2e-16
Access_to_ResourcesHigh	2.055914	0.072644	28.301	< 2e-16
Access_to_ResourcesMedium	1.051786	0.066573	15.799	< 2e-16
Motivation_LevelHigh	1.060777	0.072807	14.570	< 2e-16
Motivation_LevelMedium	0.517726	0.058264	8.886	< 2e-16
Family_IncomeHigh	1.080151	0.069530	15.535	< 2e-16
Family_IncomeMedium	0.494506	0.055846	8.855	< 2e-16
Teacher_Quality	0.505949	0.244453	2.070	0.0385
Teacher_QualityHigh	1.051641	0.092035	11.427	< 2e-16
Teacher_QualityMedium	0.504725	0.086059	5.865	4.71e-09
Peer_InfluenceNeutral	0.519686	0.068043	7.638	2.53e-14
Peer_InfluencePositive	1.027375	0.067784	15.157	< 2e-16
Parental_Education_Level	0.154660	0.218087	0.709	0.4782
Parental_Education_LevelCollege	0.487117	0.058180	8.373	< 2e-16
Parental_Education_LevelPostgraduate	0.981954	0.066893	14.680	< 2e-16
Distance_from_Home	-0.467976	0.251413	-1.861	0.0627
Distance_from_HomeFar	-0.909371	0.085978	-10.577	< 2e-16
Distance from HomeModerate	-0 520908	0 056133	-9 280	< 2e-16

# K-Means Cluster Analysis - Hours Studied and Hours Slept

### Descriptives

### [DataSet2]

### **Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Hours_Studied	6607	1	44	19.98	5.991
Sleep_Hours	6607	4	10	7.03	1.468
Valid N (listwise)	6607				

### Quick Cluster

### Initial Cluster Centers

		Cluster		
	1	2	3	
Zscore(Hours_Studied)	-3.16752	16281	3.00883	
Zscore(Sleep_Hours)	-2.06322	2.02364	-2.06322	

### Iteration History<sup>a</sup>

	Change i	n Cluster Ce	nters
Iteration	1	2	3
1	2.221	1.593	2.169
2	.313	.236	.243
з	.107	.123	.127
4	.070	.063	.044
5	.068	.077	.056
6	.000	.049	.054
7	.051	.047	.000
8	.023	.069	.053
9	.014	.015	.001
10	.000	.000	.000

a. Convergence achieved due to no or small change in cluster centers. The maximum absolute coordinate change for any center is .000. The current iteration is 10. The minimum distance between initial centers is 5.073.

### **Final Cluster Centers**

		Cluster		
	1	2	з	
Zscore(Hours_Studied)	93968	.08357	.78396	
Zscore(Sleep_Hours)	50380	1.08975	56890	

		ANC	AVA			
	Cluster		Error			
	Mean Square	df	Mean Square	df	F	Sig.
Zscore(Hours_Studied)	1651.349	2	.500	6604	3301.397	<.001
Zscore(Sleep_Hours)	1938.184	2	.413	6604	4689.192	<.001

The F tests should be used only for descriptive purposes because the clusters have been chosen to maximize the differences among cases in different clusters. The observed significance levels are not corrected for this and thus cannot be interpreted as tests of the hypothesis that the cluster means are equal.

### Number of Cases in each Cluster

Cluster	1	2118.000
	2	2183.000
	3	2306.000
Valid		6607.000
Missing		.000



### Managerial Implications

### **Regression Analysis**

- Help the school maximize their resources given the budget
- Lead to improved exam scores among students

### K Means Clustering

- Figure out why certain students have lower levels of study hours and sleep
- Sleep less + study more cluster vs. well balanced cluster

